

WILD ENGINE AT SALISBURY

Chased by Another Locomotive and Brought Home.

A HEAD-ON COLLISION

The Crews of Both Engines Jumped, but Engineer Sam Bagby Was Badly Hurt—Flagman Caught Under Cars and His Leg Crushed.

(Special to The Times-Dispatch.)
SALISBURY, N. C., July 13.—Engineer Sam Bagby was painfully, if not seriously, injured internally in a head-on collision, which occurred on the Southern yards here Saturday night. At the time of the accident he was on a shifting engine, and had started a mile below the city to bring in a through freight loaded with peaches, which had stalled on the main line. When near the yard limits and without warning the two engines collided while moving at about thirty miles per hour. The engineers and firemen on both trains jumped just in time to escape fatal injuries.

Just as he jumped Engineer Bagby reversed his engine, which at once pulled northward on the main line track, rushing like a wild bull through salaried cars on through Spencer, continuing up the main line to Hottelburg, about six miles distant, where it was overtaken by an engine from the Spencer yards, which fully coupled to the rescuing engine and brought back to the Spencer shops. Fortunately the main line track was clear at the time, No. 22, the fast mail, having just cleared the switch at Spencer as the wild engine passed, thus averting another serious wreck.

LEG CRUSHED.
Flagman R. L. Crator, of the Southern, was caught under the cars in some unknown manner a few nights ago at the Spencer yards and his left leg crushed so badly that it was amputated at the Whitehead-Stokes Sanitarium here Saturday. The patient is still in a critical condition, but it is thought he will recover.

Night Foreman Gibbs, at the round-house at Spencer, sustained severe injuries about the head Saturday night while attempting to repair a headlight on one of the large engines. He lost his footing and fell about ten feet, his head striking the cobble-stone pavement in the round-house.

While doing some emergency repair work in the shops at Spencer Sunday one of the colored assistants was accidentally caught by a large bar of iron which was being operated upon by a large steam hammer, and painfully hurt about the shoulder.

Five special trains passed here Saturday loaded with Georgia peaches, aggregating about one hundred car loads, bound for northern markets. Large shipments of Georgia melons and watermelons daily. Most of the choice fruits are shipped in refrigerator cars.

INCENDIARY FIRE.
An unsuccessful attempt was made to burn the granary of Mr. J. T. Barringer, of this city, a few nights ago. The granary, which was a two-story building, was filled with grain. It is thought that a thief tried to burn the building in order to conceal the theft.

The Festa Al Fresco, which was a most successful open-air outing and festival in the city, was held last Saturday night. It is understood that the Daughters of the Confederacy, under whose auspices the event was given, have realized quite a nice sum towards the erection of a Confederate monument in Salisbury. The occasion was a delightful one in every particular, besides being a financial success.

HALIFAX PRIMARY

Mr. R. Holt Easley Elected Chairman of the Committee.

(Special to The Times-Dispatch.)
HOUSTON, VA., July 13.—The Halifax County Democratic Executive Committee assembled here to-day with Senator W. P. Barksdale as chairman.

Senator Barksdale offered a plan for a primary to select county and district officers, modeled largely after that adopted recently by the Democracy of Pittsylvania, which was accepted, and the primary was fixed for August 24.

Mr. R. Holt Easley, of Houston, was elected chairman of the committee; Senator W. P. Barksdale was elected secretary; Mr. Easley was elected chairman.

Senator Barksdale is a prominent business man of Houston, being largely interested in milling establishments here and near South Boston. He has served as chairman of the party acceptably several times, and once during the Mahone regime, when the county was in the redoubtable column, as he has never lost a fight.

Several candidates for the Legislature are expected to announce their names for the coming year. They will probably be Joseph Stebbins, Jr., an attorney of South Boston; Daniel W. Donahoe, a farmer in the southern section of the county, is being urged by his friends to allow his name to go before the primary; Mr. Owen, a member of the Constitutional Convention of 1882, will be a candidate for the Legislature; Mr. Stebbins is a son of the well known Mr. Stebbins of the late war, who gave Virginia its new organic law. The present members of the House, J. T. Lacy, of Scottsville, and Mr. Nathan Henderson, of New Market, are said to be willing to serve longer if desired, but neither, it is understood, are anxious enough for the office to make a light. It is likely that there will be no opposition, nor is there any of the county or district officers, except south of Dan, where John A. Wade, commissioner of the revenue, is being opposed by a Confederate with a proud record. Both gentlemen have many friends, and the contest will be a warm one.

PLUMBERS TO MEET AT ATLANTIC CITY

Times-Dispatch Bureau.
No. 1417 G Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C., July 13.—The Board of Governors of the National Plumbers' Association met here this afternoon and decided to hold the next annual convention at Atlantic City early in August, the exact date to be fixed by the president, Morgan R. Mills, of Philadelphia, and a member of the board, and there were also present members from Philadelphia, Wilmington, Delaware, Baltimore, Washington, Norfolk, Atlanta and Spartanburg, S. C.

WOULD-BE SUICIDE WAS SENT TO JAIL

(Special to The Times-Dispatch.)
FREDERICKSBURG, VA., July 13.—Seymour Scott, well known character, attempted suicide here this evening by throwing himself into the Rappahannock River. He was rescued by several parties who were near by, and a large crowd gathered.

Scott was sent to jail and his sanity will be inquired into.

GOOD LUCK BAKING POWDER



The enormous demand for GOOD LUCK Baking Powder is due to its purity, High Leavening Power and General Excellence in all its uses. It is the only baking powder that is pure and reliable. It is the only baking powder that is pure and reliable. It is the only baking powder that is pure and reliable.

Southern Manufacturing Co., RICHMOND, VA.

FROM SOUTHPORT TO WILMINGTON

Haywood Case Postponed. Negro Schools Selected for Consolidation.

(Special to The Times-Dispatch.)
RALEIGH, N. C., July 13.—The Southport, Atlantic and Western Railroad Company was chartered to-day with \$1,000,000 capital, to construct a railroad from Southport to Wilmington and South Carolina line, the road to be about forty miles long. The incorporators are: M. G. Brumbaugh, W. W. Patterson, C. C. Rhodes, C. N. Wise, T. M. Buckwalter, A. J. Fahnstock, J. A. Hurley, all of Philadelphia.

The State Board of Education to-day selected the negro normal schools at Winston-Salem, Franklinton, Elizabeth City and Fayetteville as the four to receive the State appropriation in conformity with their request to consolidate from seven to four.

The schools cut off were: Salisbury, Plymouth and Goldsboro.

The noted case against Ernest Haywood for the killing of Ludlow Skinner was called for trial by Judge Peebles in Wake County, N. C., and continued until the September term after vigorous opposition by the prosecution.

The continuance was on an affidavit by Haywood, that two material witnesses, Charles B. Houtt, of Raleigh, and Bernard A. Schmitz, No. 119 East Lexington Street, Baltimore, cannot attend at this time. Houtt, having typoid fever, and Schmitz is at the bedside of his wife in Baltimore, she being critically ill.

More "White" Blackberries.

Editor of The Times-Dispatch:
Sir—I notice from The Times-Dispatch of this city that you have published an article about the blackberry. I am a grower of blackberries, and I am sure that you will find them to be a most valuable fruit. I am sure that you will find them to be a most valuable fruit. I am sure that you will find them to be a most valuable fruit.

I own, but they can be found near home than either California or Arkansas. In Chesterfield county, about a mile from a half from Bon Air, they have grown for twenty years. I don't know where they came from, but they are very good. I am sure that you will find them to be a most valuable fruit. I am sure that you will find them to be a most valuable fruit. I am sure that you will find them to be a most valuable fruit.

They were not as vigorous in growth as the common blackberry, but had heavy crops of berries. I don't know if they are there now, as they have been there for three years ago and is now owned, I believe, by a Mr. Patton, of this city. On his place, too, were raised the first Japanese plums ever brought to the Richmond market.

Y. P. W.
Richmond, Va., July 13, 1903.

Mr. C. A. Richardson.

Editor of The Times-Dispatch:
Sir—My attention has been called to a notice in your issue of Sunday last, you say I have spent some time in Chicago in the interest of the Atlantic and Pacific Oil Company, and "will return to this city (Richmond) about the first of October next."

This is incorrect. The writer returned to Richmond Saturday last, and expects to return to Chicago about the first of October next, still representing the Atlantic and Pacific Oil Company. This correct notice of the writer's return to Richmond is in the Richmond Times-Dispatch of July 13, 1903.

C. A. RICHARDSON.
Richmond, Va., July 13, 1903.

Typewriters.

Midsummer reduction sale. Buying now saves 20 to 40 per cent.
All makes—New and Rebuilt.
Remingtons, \$30.00 up.
Smiths, \$35.00 up.
Bar-Locks, \$25.00 up.
Caligraphs, \$15.00 up.
Call or write. Catalog samples of work free.

SOUTHERN STAMP AND STATIONERY CO.

Twelve-Six Main Street.
Phone 1895.

We are constantly trading out machines in exchange for the Standard Visible Writer, some brand new.

WOULD-BE SUICIDE WAS SENT TO JAIL

(Special to The Times-Dispatch.)
FREDERICKSBURG, VA., July 13.—Seymour Scott, well known character, attempted suicide here this evening by throwing himself into the Rappahannock River. He was rescued by several parties who were near by, and a large crowd gathered.

Scott was sent to jail and his sanity will be inquired into.

COUNCIL OF MANCHESTER

Telephone Matter To-Night. Elks' Trip to Baltimore. Illness of Miss Gallyon.

Manchester Bureau Times-Dispatch.
1112 Hull Street.
The Common Council will meet in regular session to-night. One of the most important measures to come up will be the proposed telephone franchise, which was slightly amended at a former meeting. The amendment of minor importance, and will probably be agreed to.

Another matter will be the report from the Finance Committee on the appropriation of \$1,500 towards a house for the superintendent of Maury Cemetery, which was agreed upon at the last meeting of the committee.

FUNERAL OF AN INFANT.
The infant of Mr. and Mrs. E. C. Woltz, of No. 303 East Seventh Street, was buried to-day at 10 o'clock, after a day after death. Rev. Asa Driscoll conducted the services.

ELKS TRIP.
A large number of Manchester Elks will go to Baltimore next Monday night, to attend the Grand Lodge meeting. The trip will be taken over the York River line, leaving Richmond in the forenoon. The party will be away about five days, reaching Manchester on their return Saturday morning.

EXCURSION TO BEACH PARK.
Central Methodist Church Sunday-school will go to West Point to-morrow morning, the large crowd is expected, and the many attractions at Beach Park will be enjoyed by them all.

The Oak Grove moonlight to Dutch Creek was postponed on account of the strike.

MISS GALLYON ILL.
Miss Ada Gallyon, so popular in Manchester, where she has lived for many years, is at her home in Chase City. She is suffering a relapse of typhoid fever.

AFTER PLACES WITH BELL COMPANY

(Continued from First Page.)

opinion as to certain ordinances in which the company was interested. He simply stated that he was not a member of the company, but as Mr. Montague had written for the company, but as Mr. Montague had served only in the capacity of a lawyer, the committee did not think it proper to ask him to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with counsel and give them such information as was in his possession. When the Bell Company's franchise was pending before the City Council, Mr. Montague was called upon to give evidence. He was not paid to work for either company. What he did was to confer with